1. **INTRODUCTION**

At the SC 17 summer meeting of 2014 it was agreed to delete Annex I from API 17A and to resubmit the information as a Technical Report, being API 17 TR14. The logic behind this decision was:

- to reduce the sheer bulk of 17A,
- to remove the need to routinely update the contents of Annex I (which contains primer type information) each time 17A itself is reissued,
- to retain the information in Annex I, so that it is not lost.

Consistent with this logic, the proposal agreed to, was to transfer the current contents of Annex I into TR14, with a minimum of effort i.e. no substantive changes/modifications/additions were envisaged, and no future revisions to TR14 would be made.

However after the document had been sent out for ballot there were negative comments which could not be addressed without completely re-writing the document to make it current, which was not the intent of this project. Furthermore after discussing the comments with individuals and groups it was determined that there was no need to have a historical document on this information and there already exists other current documents on this topic. Therefore there is no need for a document to be created and it is everyone’s recommendation to close the project without releasing a document. This is proposed to be voted on in the Winter Meeting.

2. **STATUS**

TR14 was successfully balloted in early 2015:

- 20 affirmative, 2 negative, 3 abstain, 4 did not vote
- Response rate = 86% (>50% req’d), Approval rate = 91% (>66.7% req’d)
- Consensus - YES

A total of 203 – 2 = 201 comments were received back:

- 2 comments had been directly duplicated = 199
- 5 comments (negative vote & abstentions) required no response = 194
- 117 comments were actioned (Agree/Agree in Principle) = 77
- 13 comments were not actioned (Disagree) – follow-up = 64
- 1 other (endorsement) comment required no response = 63
- 63 comments would require substantive changes to the document = 0
  - mainly additions but also some modifications of existing sections
  - beyond the capability/capacity of Task Group to implement

However there was confusion as to the value of this document and it was recommended not to release a historical document which had out of date information when there already exists up to date documents in the industry.
3. **MEMBERSHIP**

   **Task Group membership:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Email</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>David Wilkinson</td>
<td>ExxonMobil</td>
<td><a href="mailto:dave.r.wilkinson@exxonmobil.com">dave.r.wilkinson@exxonmobil.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. **UPDATED TARGET DATES FOR DELIVERABLES**

   Close project in 1Q2016 without releasing a document.

5. **MAJOR ISSUES**

   Implementation of substantive changes will require significant additional resources.

   Alternatives reviewed:
   - publish document as is (including 117 comments that were actioned),
   - assign SC 17 resources to address substantive changes recommended,
   - pay 3rd party to address substantive changes recommended,
   - scrap document and rely on existing literature,
   - handover document and comments to others to use in existing literature.

   Proposed way forward:
   - scrap document and rely on existing literature.

6. **ANTICIPATED NEW WORK ITEMS**

   None

7. **PLANS FOR FUTURE MEETINGS**

   None at this time.

8. **RESOURCE NEEDS**

   Depends on forward plan.

9. **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

   Similar topics covered in:
   
   Subsea Engineering Handbook – Bai & Bai, published in 2012 (238 pages)

Flow Assurance for Oil & Gas Fields Production Transport – Johal, published in 2012 (290 pages)


Pipe Flow 2 – Multi-phase Flow Assurance – Bratland

DeepStar flow assurance reports – not sure if these are publicly available, very voluminous - not a good précis, somewhat dated