

API Ballot Summary Sheet

3/22/2005

Ballot: 23-05: 653-168, Hot Tap, Items 6 & 7

AMS ID: 625

Start Date: 1/24/05

Closing Date: 3/14/05

Associate: Roland Goodman

Coordinator: Valeen Young

Proposal:

Vote Results

<u>Voter</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Comments</u>	<u>Vote Results</u>			
			<u>Affirmative</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>Abstain</u>	<u>Did Not Vote</u>
134629	Nelson Acosta	HMT Inspection	No	X		
131617	Joel Andreani	Equity Engineering Group, Inc., The	No	X		
38921	Robert Annett	Alyeska Pipeline	No			X
73074	Ronald Bailey	American Tank & Vessel, Inc.	No	X		
136219	Mark Baker	Baker Consulting Group, Inc.	No	X		
142888	Chris Bashor	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	No	X		
134681	Ernie Blanchard	IMC-Phosphates	No	X		
109375	Jerry Boldra		No			X
22200	Dan Boley	DJA Inspection Services	No	X		
134782	Steve Caruthers	Tank Consultants, Inc.	No	X		
7127	Earl Crochet	Kinder Morgan	No	X		
142685	Domingo de Para	ExxonMobil	No	X		
133403	Jeffrey DeArmond	BP p.l.c. Whiting Refinery	No	X		
146748	Terry Delong	Terasen Pipelines (USA) Inc.	Yes	X		
135965	Kenneth Erdmann	Matrix Service Company	No	X		
105011	David Flight	Dow Chemical Company	No			X
134870	Laurence Foster	Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC	No	X		
134880	John Fumbanks	Pond and Company Inc.	No			X
115033	Alan Geis	Colonial Pipeline Company	No	X		
83689	Ty Hagen	Hagen Engineering International, Inc.	No	X		
136619	Robert Hendrix	Voridian Engineering & Construction	No	X		
70596	Marty Herlevic	James Machine Works, Inc.	No	X		
93133	Randy Kissell	TGB Partnership	Yes	X		
81918	Manfred Lengsfeld		No			X
135014	John Lieb	Tank Industry Consultants, Inc.	No	X		
136274	Thomas Lorentz	AEC Engineering, Inc.	No			X
135072	Francis Maitland	Quense LLC	No			X

API Ballot Summary Sheet

3/22/2005

Ballot: 23-05: 653-168, Hot Tap, Items 6 & 7

AMS ID: 625

Start Date: 1/24/05

Closing Date: 3/14/05

Associate: Roland Goodman

Coordinator: Valeen Young

Proposal:

78399	David Martin	Conservatek Industries, Inc.	No	X	
113545	James McBride	Petrex, Inc.	Yes	X	
139045	Craig Meier	ConocoPhillips	No		X
137255	Carl Mikkola	Enbridge Energy Partners. L.P.	No	X	
131185	Douglas Miller	Chicago Bridge & Iron Company(CB&I)	No	X	
69609	Bhana Mistry	TIW Steel Platework	No	X	
83736	John Mooney		No	X	
92212	George Morovich	TEMCOR	No	X	
136286	Philip Myers	ChevronTexaco Corporation	No		X
132210	David Nasab	Kellogg Brown & Root	No		X
82544	John Oleyar	HMT, Inc.	No	X	
5193	Richard Pinegar	Cargill Inc.	No	X	
102412	Roy Ralph	Petro-Canada	No		X
135169	Michael Richardson	International Paper	Yes		X
73744	Bruce Roberts		Yes		X
101360	Marilyn Shores	Sunoco Logistics	No		X
126019	Larry Speaks	Mass Technology Corporation	No	X	
134314	Tearle Taylor	Flint Hills Resources	No	X	
134325	Donald Thain	Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc.	No		X
145034	Leith Watkins	Explorer Pipeline Company	No	X	
145896	Alan Watson	A.R. Watson, USA	No	X	
132209	Richard Whipple	Fluor, Inc.	No		X

API Ballot Summary Sheet

3/22/2005

Ballot: 23-05: 653-168, Hot Tap, Items 6 & 7

AMS ID: 625

Start Date: 1/24/05

Closing Date: 3/14/05

Associate: Roland Goodman

Coordinator: Valeen Young

Proposal:

	<u>Affirmative</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>Abstain</u>	<u>Did Not Vote</u>
Balloting Totals:	33	2	1	13

Total Responses:	36	
Total Ballots:	49	
Response Rate :	67%	Must be > 50%
Approval Rate:	94%	Must be > 67%
Consensus:	YES	

API Template for Ballot Comments and Resolution

Ballot ID: 625	Date: March 23, 2005	Document: Ballot 23-05: 653-168
----------------	----------------------	--

#	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
	Voter/ Commenter	Company	Section No. (e.g. 3.1)	Type of comment	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed Change	Comment Resolution
1	Bruce Roberts			Technical	The test pressure should depend upon the product head at the location of the nozzle. I don't see any basis for the .65 factor. Also, this paragraph should indicate which welds or attachment is being tested. The reinforcing pad and the nozzle are not being tested.		
2	Terry Delong	Terasen Pipelines (USA) Inc.		Technical	Test pressure value calculated in 9.14.5.3 should be specified as a minimum.		
3	James McBride	Petrex, Inc.	9.14.5	Technical	I originally voted negative on this agenda item because I thought that it should spell out when the NDE was to be performed (i.e. after the root pass). I changed my vote when I was persuaded to allow my objection to be handled as additional agenda item or at least have a discussion held in the committee concerning the merits of my objection. To my knowledge this has yet to be done.		
4	Larry Hiner	Chicago Bridge & Iron Company(CB&I)	9.14.5.3	Technical	9.14.5.3 last sentence. Affirmative comment - The present requirement is clear enough. If a change is made, the test pressure determination should include the specific gravity of the product.	Do not change wording or include product SG in determination of the proposed changes.	
5	Randy Kissell	TGB Partnership	9.14.5.3	Technical	I suggest eliminating the English units from the change so that metrication is simpler in the future: "After the reinforcing plate has been welded to the shell and nondestructive examination carried out, the pad shall be pneumatically tested by the procedure described in API Std 650. After the valve has been installed on the flange, a pressure test of at least 1.5 times the product static head shall be performed on the nozzle ..."		

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 6 are compulsory.

API electronic balloting commenting template/version 2002-12

API Template for Ballot Comments and Resolution

Ballot ID: 625	Date: March 23, 2005	Document: Ballot 23-05: 653-168
----------------	----------------------	--

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
#	Voter/ Commenter	Company	Section No. (e.g. 3.1)	Type of comment	Comment (justification for change)	Proposed Change	Comment Resolution
6	Michael Richardson	International Paper	General	Technical	Where does the 0.65 come from?		

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 6 are compulsory.

API *electronic balloting commenting template/version 2002-12*