

API Ballot Summary Sheet

10/21/2005

Ballot: 33-05: 653-200, Clarify "Full" Hydrotest

AMS ID: 722

Start Date: 8/26/05

Closing Date: 10/7/05

Associate: Gordon Robertson

Coordinator: Gordon Robertson

Proposal:

Vote Results

<u>Voter</u>	<u>Company</u>	<u>Comments</u>	<u>Vote Results</u>			
			<u>Affirmative</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>Abstain</u>	<u>Did Not Vote</u>
134629	Nelson Acosta	HMT Inspection	Yes	X		
131617	Joel Andreani	Equity Engineering Group, Inc., The	No	X		
38921	Robert Annett	Alyeska Pipeline	No	X		
73074	Ronald Bailey	American Tank & Vessel, Inc.	No	X		
136219	Mark Baker	Baker Consulting Group, Inc.	Yes	X		
142888	Chris Bashor	Minnesota Pollution Control Agency	No			X
134681	Ernie Blanchard	MOSAIC	No	X		
109375	Jerry Boldra	SBC Global	No	X		
22200	Dan Boley	DJA Inspection Services	No	X		
134782	Steve Caruthers	Tank Consultants, Inc.	No	X		
154212	Gary Cavey	Conservatek Industries, Inc.	No	X		
7127	Earl Crochet	Kinder Morgan	No	X		
150217	Jody Day	Lide Industries, Inc.	No			X
142685	Domingo de Para	ExxonMobil	No	X		
133403	Jeffrey DeArmond	BP p.l.c. Whiting Refinery	No	X		
146748	Terry Delong	Terasen Pipelines (USA) Inc.	No	X		
135965	Kenneth Erdmann	Matrix Service Company	No	X		
105011	David Flight	Dow Chemical Company	No	X		
134870	Laurence Foster	Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC	Yes	X		
134880	John Fumbanks	Pond and Company Inc.	No			X
115033	Alan Geis	Colonial Pipeline Company	No	X		
83689	Ty Hagen	Hagen Engineering International, Inc.	No	X		
136619	Robert Hendrix	Eastman Chemical Co	Yes	X		
70596	Marty Herlevic	James Machine Works, Inc.	No	X		
93133	Randy Kissell	TGB Partnership	No	X		
81918	Manfred Lengsfeld		No	X		
135014	John Lieb	Tank Industry Consultants, Inc.	No	X		

API Ballot Summary Sheet

10/21/2005

Ballot: 33-05: 653-200, Clarify "Full" Hydrotest

AMS ID: 722

Start Date: 8/26/05

Closing Date: 10/7/05

Associate: Gordon Robertson

Coordinator: Gordon Robertson

Proposal:

136274	Thomas Lorentz	AEC Engineering, Inc.	No	X	
135072	Francis Maitland	Quense LLC	No		X
113545	James McBride	Petrex, Inc.	No	X	
139045	Craig Meier	ConocoPhillips	No		X
137255	Carl Mikkola	Enbridge Energy Partners, L.P.	No	X	
131185	Douglas Miller	Chicago Bridge & Iron Company(CB&I)	Yes	X	
69609	Bhana Mistry	TIW Steel Platework	No	X	
83736	John Mooney		No	X	
92212	George Morovich	TEMCOR	No	X	
136286	Philip Myers	Chevron Corporation	No	X	
132210	David Nasab	Kellogg Brown & Root	No		X
82544	John Oleyar	HMT, Inc.	No	X	
5193	Richard Pinegar	Cargill Inc.	No		X
102412	Roy Ralph	Petro-Canada	No		X
135169	Michael Richardson	International Paper	No	X	
73744	Bruce Roberts		No	X	
101360	Marilyn Shores	Sunoco Logistics	Yes	X	
126019	Larry Speaks	Mass Technology Corporation	No	X	
134314	Tearle Taylor	Flint Hills Resources	No	X	
134325	Donald Thain	Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc.	Yes	X	
145034	Leith Watkins	Explorer Pipeline Company	No	X	
145896	Alan Watson	A.R. Watson, USA	No	X	
132209	Richard Whipple	Fluor, Inc.	No	X	

API Ballot Summary Sheet

10/21/2005

Ballot: 33-05: 653-200, Clarify "Full" Hydrotest

AMS ID: 722

Start Date: 8/26/05

Closing Date: 10/7/05

Associate: Gordon Robertson

Coordinator: Gordon Robertson

Proposal:

	<u>Affirmative</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>Abstain</u>	<u>Did Not Vote</u>
Balloting Totals:	42	0	1	7

Total Responses:	43	
Total Ballots:	50	
Response Rate :	84%	Must be > 50%
Approval Rate:	100%	Must be > 67%
Consensus:	YES	

API Ballot Summary Sheet

10/21/2005

Ballot: 33-05: 653-200, Clarify "Full" Hydrotest

AMS ID: 722

Start Date: 8/26/05

Closing Date: 10/7/05

Associate: Gordon Robertson

Coordinator: Gordon Robertson

Proposal:

API Template for Ballot Comments and Resolution

Ballot ID: 722	Date: November 3, 2005	Document: 30-05-653-200
----------------	------------------------	--------------------------------

#	(1) Voter/ Commenter	(2) Company	(3) Section No. (e.g. 3.1)	(4) Type of comment	(5) Comment (justification for change)	(6) Proposed Change	(7) Comment Resolution
1	Donald Thain	Shell Global Solutions (US) Inc.		Technical	Assume that this does not limit to "fresh" water but that salt water acceptable as well.		
2	Marilyn Shores	Sunoco Logistics		Technical	I believe the definition should go a little further and state that the water should be filled to the full operating height.		
3	Mark Baker	Baker Consulting Group, Inc.		Editorial	I recommend the term "hydrostatic test"		
4	Nelson Acosta	HMT Inspection	3.11	Technical	Based on the original inquiry question and the reply, the wording as proposed in 3.11 for the definition of hydrotest should include the word "only" between "performed" and "with" and a reference to "water" should follow "static fluid head" so that there is no confusion left in the mind of any user as to what the intent of this definition is intended to convey to the reader (as noted further below).	3.11 hydrotest: A test performed only with water, in which static fluid head (water) is used to produce test loads.	
5	Laurence Foster	Marathon Ashland Petroleum LLC	3.11	Technical	Section 12 refers to "hydrostatic test."	Use "hydrostatic test" instead of "hydrotest"	
6	Douglas Miller	Chicago Bridge & Iron Company(CB&I)	Background	Editorial	It would be good to name the number of the inquiry given in the agenda item background.		
7	Robert Hendrix	Eastman Chemical Co	Section 3 - Definitions	Technical	We need to be careful here. If a "hydrotest" be performed such that the region repaired, altered, or reconstructed experiences the desired stress level then I think that the intent is met. I can anticipate requests for interpretation concerning sea water or post test material storage of water reactive or miscible chemicals and such. What would be wrong with testing with any liquid that has a specific gravity of essentially 1.0 as long as all parties agree? Need we be so specific? Why not just defer to Item 650-524 5.3.5 by our silence?		

NOTE Columns 1, 2, 4, 6 are compulsory.

API electronic balloting commenting template/version 2002-12