**API Ballot Comments Sheet**


**Ballot: 130-07-650-638 Revise S.3.3.3 of API-650**

- **Start Date:** 7/19/07
- **Closing Date:** 8/30/07

**Proposal:**

---

**157328 Doug Bayles**

**Inserv Integrated Service Company LLC**

**Specification Section** | **Type** | **Comment** | **Suggested Change**
--- | --- | --- | ---
S.3.3.3 | Technical | Change reference of 3.7.5 (API 650 10th edition 4th addendum) to meet API 650 11th edition. | Change 3.7.5 to 5.7.5 |

**109375 Jerry Boldra**

**Specification Section** | **Type** | **Comment** | **Suggested Change**
--- | --- | --- | ---
S.3.3.3 | Editorial | This agenda items appears to have been developed according to API 650, 10th Edit., Addendum 4. Consider updating to the 11th edition. | Change the reference in the first sentence from 3.7.5 to 5.7.5. |

**-1 Larry Hiner**

**CB&I**

**Specification Section** | **Type** | **Comment** | **Suggested Change**
--- | --- | --- | ---
S.3.3.3 | Technical | I agree with the basic idea of the agenda item. S.3.3.3 for consistency with the rest of the appendix should be moved to be part of S.3.6.3. Re-title S.3.6 to Appendix M and Low Yield Strength Modifications Remove temperature limit in S.3.6.1 because stainless steels having a yield of 25 ksi at 100F would be incorrectly handled under current wording. | Delete S.3.3.3 Match wording in M.3.4. or state The requirements of M.3.4 apply Revise S.3.6 to: Appendix M and Low Yield Strength Modifications Revise S.3.6.1 to delete "with a maximum design temperature over 40oC(100oF)" |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification Section</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Comment</th>
<th>Suggested Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>93133</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>Add the word &quot;the&quot; before &quot;bolting flange and cover plate&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131185</td>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>I agree with the change suggested for manholes but we need to also address flush-type cleanouts and flush-type fittings.</td>
<td>Suggested approach to address these things is as per Larry Hiner comments which were developed by Larry and myself.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>101360</td>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>I would think this change is editorial and should have been treated as such, rather than going through the ballot cycle.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>